



ISSN: 0976-3376

Available Online at <http://www.journalajst.com>

ASIAN JOURNAL OF
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology
Vol. 5, Issue 6, pp. 375-377, June, 2014

RESEARCH ARTICLE

SCREENING OF PLANTS FOR ANTI-QUORUM SENSING POTENTIALS AGAINST BIOFILM PRODUCING GRAM NEGATIVE BACTERIA

*Florida Tilton, Nirmal Kumar, P. and Lakshmi Priya, K.

Biozone Research Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Chennai

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 06th March, 2014
Received in revised form
28th April, 2014
Accepted 04th May, 2014
Published online 30th June, 2014

Key words:

Biofilm, Plants,
Phytochemicals,
Antibacterial and
Anti-quorum sensing.

ABSTRACT

The gram-negative bacteria produce signaling molecules for combining with the other bacteria producing the same signal such as N-acyl homoserine lactones (AHL) for the formation of biofilm. The anti-quorum sensing potential of *Acalypha indica*, *Azimatetracantha*, *Anethum graveolens*, *Calophyllum inophyllum*, *Cassia alata*, *Erysimum officinale*, *Eupatorium triplinerve*, *Leucas aspera*, *Phyllanthus amarus* and *Vincarosea* collected from Tamil Nadu were analyzed. These plants were tested for their phytochemical content and major phytochemicals were found to be present in *Acalypha indica*, *Anethum graveolens*, *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Erysimum officinale*, *Azimatetracantha* and *Eupatorium triplinerve*. The chosen plants were tested for its anti-quorum sensing potential using the indicator organism *Chromobacterium violaceum*. The pigment violacein was found to be inhibited. Anti-quorum sensing activity was found to be significantly high in *Phyllanthus amarus*, *Acalypha indica* and *Anethum graveolens*.

Copyright © 2014 Florida Tilton et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Biofilm are formed by microbial communities of defined structure by getting attached to specific organized communities of cells under controlled signaling molecules using cell-cell signaling known as quorum sensing (Davies et al., 1998). The formation of biofilm is assumed to be in two different stages namely primary attachment onto polymer surfaces and cell-cell proliferation to form multilayered clusters (Hussain et al., 1993). The N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL) is a small molecule with known function used by the gram negative bacterial species known for its best signaling system. This AHL signaling system has been proved to play an important role in the context of plant, animal and human in developing anti-pathogenic therapies for the diseases acquired. The gram positive bacteria does not have the ability to produce AHL since the nature of the signal molecules used in the gram positive bacteria differs from gram negative bacteria (Matthew R. Parsek et al., 2000). With auto inducers, the bacteria can regulate its behavior according to population density (Teresa R. DeKievit et al., 2000). The local density of the QS bacteria decides its virulence, biofilm formation, adherence, cell to cell signaling and antibiotic resistance (Michael G. Surette et al., 1999). In the medical industry, the biomaterials such as stents, catheters and orthopedic joints has been found that the microbes adhesion to these serve as excellent substrates which lead to biofilm formation (Cardinal et al., 1996, Ell, S. R. et al., 1996, Leonhardt et al., 1999).

These implanted devices causes infection by formation of biofilm (Schierholz et al., 2001). In addition, the infections caused by the biofilm formation affects the central nervous catheters, urinary catheters, orthopedic devices, prosthetic heart valves and even contact lens which results in longer hospitalization, surgery and death (Barie PS et al., 1998, Henke PK et al., 1998, Stewart PS et al., 2001, Linnola R et al., 2001). It was identified that biofilm forming bacteria requires a concentration of 100-1000 times increased dosage than that needed to kill the same species in suspension (Ceri H et al., 1999).

The colonized bacteria within water distribution system pose a threat to human life by the formation of biofilms although many opportunistic pathogens has the ability to survive and proliferate (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1992, Bezanson et al., 1992). It was identified that the disinfectant chlorine used in water systems to prevent contamination does not affect the bacterial formed biofilms (Hoyle et al., 1990). Previous research has proved that the biofilms producing bacteria are even resistant to antibiotics that it cannot be eliminated (Luppens et al., 2002). Because of this many industries are now working with the inhibitory aspects of biofilms negative impact. In addition to the above, many food industries are working towards inhibition of biofilms formation in order to avoid toxin effect in their food product (Wong et al., 1988). Herbal based compounds have fewer side effects than synthetic compounds. This valid reason had made people all over the world to research in herbal compounds and to study its disease curing nature (Sasidharan et al., 2011).

*Corresponding author: Florida Tilton

Biozone Research Technologies Pvt. Ltd., Chennai

Table 1. Qualitative phytochemical analysis

<i>Azimatetracantha</i>	Solvents	Sugar	Alkaloid	Glycoside	Flavanoid	Tannin	Saponin	Protein
<i>assia alata</i>	Hexane	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	+	+	-	-	+
	Ethanol	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
	Hexane	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Leucasaspera</i>	Hexane	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Erysimumofficinale</i>	Hexane	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
<i>Vincarcosea</i>	Hexane	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	-	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Phyllanthusamarus</i>	Hexane	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Euphatoriumtriplinerve</i>	Hexane	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	+	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Acalyphaindica</i>	Hexane	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	+	-	+	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Anethumgraveolens</i>	Hexane	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	+	+	+	-	-
	Ethanol	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Callophylum</i>	Hexane	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethyl acetate	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Ethanol	+	+	-	-	-	-	-

Acalyphaindica, *Azimatetracantha*, *Anethumgraveolens*, *Calophylluminophyllum*, *Cassia alata*, *Erysimumofficinale*, *Euphatoriumtriplinerve*, *Leucasaspera*, *Phyllanthusamarus* and *Vincarcosea* are the plants which are used for screening anti-quorum sensing against the indicator organism *Chromobacteriumviolaceum* in the current study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection

Acalyphaindica, *Azimatetracantha*, *Anethumgraveolens*, *Calophylluminophyllum*, *Cassia alata*, *Erysimumofficinale*, *Euphatoriumtriplinerve*, *Leucasaspera*, *Phyllanthusamarus* and *Vincarcosea* were collected from different parts of Tamil Nadu.

Extraction and Drying

All the plant materials (leaves) were shade-dried at room temperature for two weeks, after which it was ground to a uniform powder. The extracts of the leaf samples were prepared in a sequential procedure by soaking 100 g of dried powder in 300 ml of different solvents (Hexane, Ethyl acetate

and Ethanol) for 48 h. At the end of each respective extraction, the extracts were filtered using Whatman filter paper. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure in vacuum at 40°C for 25 min using a rotary evaporator (Super fit-ROTAVAP, India).

Qualitative phytochemical test

The qualitative phytochemical tests were done for carbohydrates, alkaloids, glycosides, flavanoids, tannins, saponins and protein (Harborne JB *et al.*, 1973).

Anti-quorum sensing activity

The *Chromobacteriumviolaceum* is used for analyzing the anti-quorum sensing activity of the samples using the Mac-conkey medium. The prepared samples were inoculated into the wells created in plates. All the plants with its respective extracts were screened for its activity against quorum sensing by inhibiting the violace in production of *C. violaceum* (StephaneUroz *et al.*, 2003).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The phytoconstituents in the plants *Acalyphaindica*, *Azimatetracantha*, *Anethumgraveolens*,

Calophylluminophyllum, *Cassia alata*, *Erysimumofficinale*, *Euphatoriumtriplinerve*, *Leucasaspera*, *Phyllanthusamarus* and *Vincarosasea* were serially extracted using three solvents hexane, ethyl acetate and ethanol.

Alkaloids were present in *Acalyphaindica*, *Anethumgraveolens*, *Phyllanthusamarus* and *Euphatoriumtriplinerve*. In addition, the presence of Flavanoid was indicated in *Acalyphaindica*, *Azimatetracantha*, *Erysimumofficinale*, *nethumgraveolens* and *Phyllanthusamarus*. Phenol, tannin, phytosteroids, alkaloids, flavonoids, coumarins, cardiac glycosides and terpenoids were found to be present in almost every plant except few (Table. 1). *Chromobacteriumviolaceum* is a gram-negative bacteria used as a model for screening the plants activity against quorum sensing and this gram-negative bacteria can be grown using the nutrient agar as supportive medium. *C. violaceum* produces a natural antibiotic called violacein which produces smooth low convex colonies with a dark violet metallic sheen at a optimal temperature of 30-35 °C. The production of purple pigment in response to appropriate AHL indicates the absence of anti-quorum sensing activity of the plant. Decolorization of the purple color indicates the anti-quorum sensing activity of the plant by preventing the cell signaling between the organisms for the formation of the biofilm. But inhibiting the quorum sensing of a bacteria does not mean that it kills the bacteria but inhibits its signaling molecules from quorum sensing receptor (Jacob M. Hornby *et al.*, 2001).

Plants like *Anethumgraveolens*, *Acalyphaindica*, *Erysimumofficinale* and *Phyllanthusamarus* showed significant phytochemical activity (Table. 1) which were further screened for anti-quorum sensing potentials. *Euphatoriumtriplinerve* and *Azimatetracantha* showed only moderate activities (Table 1). Comparing the solvents used for the extracts for significant zone, Ethyl acetate was used for further proceedings. The most effective substances from plants acting negatively on QS were compounds from *Acalyphaindica*, *Anethumgraveolens*, *Phyllanthusamarus* (Table.2). The future in depth study is to be done by isolating the compounds from *Acalyphaindica*, *Anethumgraveolens*, *Phyllanthusamarus* to which its anti-quorum sensing potential can be attributed.

Table 2. Screening of anti-quorum sensing property

Plants	Ethanol	Ethyl acetate	Hexane
<i>Azimatetracantha</i>	Absent	Present	Present
<i>Anethumgraveolens</i>	Present	Present	Present
<i>Acalyphaindica</i>	Present	Present	Absent
<i>Callophyllum</i>	Absent	Absent	Present
<i>Erysimumofficinale</i>	Present	Present	Present
<i>Phyllanthusamarus</i>	Present	Present	Present
<i>Euphatoriumtriplinerve</i>	Present	Present	Absent

REFERENCES

- Barie PS. 1998, Antibiotic-resistant gram-positive cocci: implications for surgical practice. *World. J. Surg*, 22: 118–26.
- Cardinal, E., E. M. Braunstein, W. N. Capello, and D. A. Heck. 1996. *Candida albicans* infection of prosthetic joints. *Orthopedics*, 19:247–251.
- Ceri H, Olson ME, Stremick C, Read RR, Morck D, Buret A. 1999. The Calgary biofilm device: new technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. *J. Clin. Microbiol*, 37: 1771–6.
- Davies D. G, M. R. Parsek, J. P. Pearson, B. H. Iglewski, J. W. Costerton, and E. P. Greenberg. 1998. The involvement of cell-to-cell signals in the development of a bacterial biofilm. *Science*, 280:295–298.
- Ell S. R. and J. Laryngol. 1996. *Candida* the cancer of silastic. *Otol*, 110:240–242.
- Harborne JB. 1973. *Phytochemical Methods: A guide to modern techniques of plant analysis*. Chapman and Hall, pp. 279, 3rd Edition.
- Henke PK, Bergamini TM, Rose SM, Richardson JD. 1998. Current options in prosthetic vascular graft infection. *A.M. Surg*, 64: 39 – 45.
- Hussain M, Wilcox MH, White PJ. 1993. The slime of coagulase-negative staphylococci: biochemistry and relation to adherence. *FEMS. Microbiol. Rev*, 10:191–207
- Leonhardt, A., S. Renvert, and G. Dahlen. 1999. Microbial findings at failing implants *Clin. Oral. Implants. Res*, 10:339–345.
- Linnola R. 2001. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and intraocular lenses. *Ophthalmology*, 108:1518–9.
- Matthew R. Parsek and E. Peter Greenberg. 2000. Acyl-homoserine lactone quorum sensing in Gram-negative bacteria: A signaling mechanism involved in associations with higher organisms, *PNAS*, vol. 97, no. 16, 8789–8793.
- Michael G. Surette, Melissa B. Miller, and Bonnie L. Bassler. 1999. Quorum sensing in *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, and *Vibrio harveyi*: A new family of genes responsible for auto inducer production, *PNAS*, vol. 96, pp. 1639–1644.
- Sasidharan *et al.*, 2011. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complement. Altern. Med*, 8(1):1-10
- Schierholz JM, Beuth J. 2001. Implant infections: a haven for opportunistic bacteria. *J. Hosp. Infect*, 49:87–93.
- Stewart PS, Costerton JW. 2001. Antibiotic resistance in biofilms, *Lancet*. 358:135–8.
- Teresa R. De Kievit and Barbara H. Iglewski. 2000, Bacterial Quorum Sensing in Pathogenic Relationships, *Infect. Immun.*vol. 68, no. 9, 4839- 4849.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1992. Seminar publication: control of biofilm growth in drinking water distribution systems. EPA/625/R-92/001. Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC.
- Bezanson, G., S. Burbridge, D. Haldane, and T. Marrie. 1992. *In situ* colonization of polyvinyl chloride, brass, and copper by *Legionella pneumophila*. *Can. J. Microbiol*. 38:328–330.
- Hoyle, B., J. Jass, and J. Costerton. 1990. The biofilm glycocalyx as a resistance factor. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 26:1–5.
- Luppens, S. B. I., M. W. Reij, R. W. L. van der Heijden, F. M. Rombouts and T. Abee. 2002. Development of a standard test to assess the resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm cells to disinfectants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68:4194–4200.
- Wong, A. C. L. 1998. Biofilms in food processing environments. *J. Dairy Sci.* 81:2765–2770.