

Available Online at http://www.journalajst.com

ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 14, Issue, 04, pp. 12450-12454, April, 2023

# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# ON THE PROJECTIVE ALGEBRA OF FIRST APPROXIMATE MATSUMOTO METRIC

# \*1Natesh Netaganta Bhovi and 2Narasimhamurthy Senajji Kampalappa

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science College, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shimoga, Karnataka, India

### ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT

### Article History:

Received 17<sup>th</sup> February, 2023 Received in revised form 19<sup>th</sup> March, 2023 Accepted 28th March, 2023 Published online  $27<sup>th</sup>$  April, 2023 In the present paper, we have introduce a special metric, called First Approximate Matsumoto metric is analyzed as a certain on an  $n$  dimensional space with the projective Algebra and Lie Algebra of the projective group and this metric is characterized as certain Lie sub algebra of the projective algebra. Further, which is devoted to studying the condition of Finsler space of constant flag curvature and vanishing S curvature admits a non Riemannian space of affine projective vector field with First Approximate Matsumoto metric is Berwald space.

### Keywords:

Finsler space, First Approximate Matsumoto metric, Projective Vector fields, Projective Algebra, Lie Algebra, Lie sub algebra.

Citation: Natesh Netaganta Bhovi and Narasimhamurthy Senajji Kampalappa. 2023. "On the projective algebra of first approximate matsumoto metric ", Asian Journal of Science and Technology, 14, (04), 12450-12454.

Copyright©2023, Natesh Netaganta Bhovi and Narasimhamurthy Senajii Kampalappa. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# INTRODUCTION

A Finsler metric on a manifold is a family of norms in tangent spaces, which vary smoothly with the base point. Every Finsler metric determines a spray by its systems of geodesic equations. Thus, Finsler spaces can be viewed as special spray spaces. On the other hand, every Finsler metric defines a distance function by the length of minimial curves. Thus Finsler spaces can be viewed as regular metric spaces. Riemannian spaces are special regular metric spaces. In 1854, B. Riemann mentioned general regular metric spaces, but he thought that there were nothing new in the general case. In fact, it is technically much more difficult to deal with general regular metric spaces. For more than half century, there had been no essential progress in this direction until P. Finsler did his pioneering work in 1918. Finsler studied the variational problems of curves and surfaces in general regular metric spaces. Some difficult problems were solved by him. Since then, such regular metric spaces are called Finsler spaces [14].

As the projective algebra of a metric is defined in terms of its geodesic spray and it is defined for any spray and coincides for projectively equivalent sprays. It is well known that in an *n*-dimensional Riemannian space of constant curvature the dimension of  $p(M, F)$  is  $n(n + 2)$  and vice-versa. This weaves an overture for an analogue problem of Randers space. If we have  $s_j^i = 0$ , then the respective projective algebra of Lie sub algebra.<br>
Contrigue and Meridian fihred and Normsthulanmurrhy Senagji Kampadappa. 2023. "On the projective algebra of first approximate mates<br>
Contribute Naret, Naret, Netagania Bhovi and Narmsimkamurrhy Senagji K the projective algebra of first approximate matsumoto metric ",<br>
an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons<br>
provided the original work is properly cited.<br>
Othly with the base point. Every Finsler metri metrics in comparison with the analogue Riemannian case. A projective vector field is said bbe  $C$ -projective if its projective factor  $p$ has closeness property. In the recent works [3] projective algebra of Randers metrics are introduced and the Lie algebras of C- projective vector fields and the well known non- Riemannian curvature and H- curvature is invariants of the algebras of C- projective vector fields are also introduced. The concept of  $(a, \beta)$  - metric was introduced in 1972 by M.Matsumoto and studied by M.Hachiguchi (1975), Y. Ichijjyo (1975). S. Kikuchi (1979), C.Shibata (1984), Gouree Shankar and Ravindra Yadav (2011), Narasimhamurthy S.K. and Chethan B.C.(2015).[4]The S-curvature is constructed by Shen on Finsler manifolds. A Finsler metric F on an n-dimensional manifold M is said to have isotropic S-curvature if isotopic S=(n +1)c(x)F, for some scalar function c on M. It is known that some of Randers metrics are of Scurvature [6]. The examples of  $(a, \beta)$  - metrics are Randers metric, Kropina metric, Matsumoto metric. The present paper is devoted to studying the condition for a Finsler space with  $(\alpha, \beta)$  metric  $F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$  is Berwald space.

Department of Mathematics, Govt. Science College, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

#### PRELIMINARIES

Let M be an n-dimensional smooth manifold and  $\pi: TM\{\{0\} \to M$  the natural projection from the tangent bundle. Let  $(x, y)$  be a point of TM with  $x \in M$ ,  $Y \in T xM$  and let **PRELIMINARIES**<br>
• **POSELIMINARIES**<br> **EXELIMINARIES**<br> **EXELIMINARIE** 

 $(x^{i}, y^{i})$  be the local coordinates on TM with  $y = y^{i} \partial/\partial x^{i}$ .  $i<sub>1</sub>$ ).

Finsler metric on M is a function  $F: TM \to [0,\infty)$  satisfying the following properties

- 
- Regularity:  $F(x, y)$  is smooth in  $TM \setminus \{0\}$ <br>Positive homogeneity:  $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .
- Strong concavity: The fundamental quadratic form  $g = g_{ij}(x, y) dx^i \otimes dx^j$  is positive definite,

Where

$$
g_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 (F)^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}, C_{ijk} = \frac{1}{4} [F]^2_{y^i y^j y^k} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}.
$$

*Natesh Netaganta Bhovi et al. On the projective algebra of first approximate matsumoto metric<br>RIES*<br> *n*-dimensional smooth manifold and  $\pi:TM\setminus\{0\} \rightarrow M$  the natural projection from the tangent b<br>  $x \in M, Y \in T xM$  and let<br> **Example 10** Metaganta Bhovi et al. On the projective algebra of first approximate matsumoto metric<br>
oth manifold and  $\pi: TM \setminus \{0\} \to M$  the natural projection from the tangent bundle. Let  $(x, y)$ <br>
on  $T M$  with  $y = y^i \partial/(\partial x$ Define symmetric trilinear form  $C = C_{ijk} dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k$  on  $TM \setminus \{0\}$ . We call C is the Cartan torison. Let F be a Finsler metric on an n - dimensional manifold M. The canonical geodesic  $\sigma(t)$  of F is characterized by TM with  $x \in M$ ,  $Y \in TxM$  and let<br>  $i, y^i$  be the local coordinates on TM with  $y = y^i$   $\partial/(\partial x^i)$ .<br>
the metric on M is a function  $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following properties<br>
Regularity:  $F(x, y)$  is smooth in TM  $\set$ *M*, *Y* ∈ *TxM* and let<br>
coordinates on *TM* with  $y = y^i \partial/(\partial x^i)$ .<br> *i* is a function *F* : *TM* → [0,  $\infty$ ) satisfying the following properties<br> *F* (*x*, *y*) *is* smooth in *TM*  $\set{0}$ <br>
nogeneity: *F* (*x*, *y*) ith  $y = y^l$   $\partial/(\partial x^l)$ .<br>  $\rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying the following properties<br>  $TM \setminus \{0\}$ <br>  $= \lambda F(x, y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .<br>
quadratic form  $g = g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \otimes dx^j$  is positive definite,<br>  $\frac{l}{dx}$ .<br>  $\frac{dx^i}{dx^j} \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k$  on  $TM \setminus \{0$ Head and the verture of the verture of the verture of the verture properties.<br>
Regularity: *F* (*x, y*) is smooth in *TM* ((0)<br>
Positive homogeneity: *F* (*x, λy*) = *AF* (*x,y*) for *λ* > 0.<br>
Strong coneavity: The fund

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma^i(t)}{dt^2} + 2G^i(\sigma(t), \dot{\sigma}(t)) = 0,
$$

where  $G<sup>i</sup>$  are the geodesic coefficients having the expression

$$
G^{i} = \frac{1}{4}g^{ij}\{[F^{2}]_{x^{k}y^{l}}y^{k} - [F]^{2}_{x^{l}}\}\text{ with } (g)^{ij} = (g)_{ij}^{-1}\text{ and } \dot{\sigma} = \frac{d\sigma^{i}}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}.
$$

A spray on M is a globally  $C^{\infty}$  vector field G on  $TM\setminus\{0\}$  which is expressed in local coordinates as follows

$$
G = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}.
$$

Assume the conventions:

$$
G^i_j=\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^i}, G^i_{jk}=\frac{\partial G^i_j}{\partial y^k}\ , G^i_{jkl}=\frac{\partial G^i_{jk}}{\partial y^l}\ .
$$

Note that  $G_{jk}^i$  gives rise to a torison –free connection in  $\pi^*TM$  called the Berwald connection in [5]. The function  $G_j^i$  define a non linear connection HTM spanned by horizontal frame  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\}$ , where  $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} - G_j^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ . The nonlinear connection HTM splits TTM as TTM =  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ . The nonlinear connection HTM splits TTM as TTM =  $ker \pi_* \bigoplus$ HTM, see[5]. If  $G_{jk}^i(x, y)$  are functions of  $x \in M$ , equivalently at every point of F if and only if  $G_{jkl}^i = 0$  then the Finsler metri The function  $G_j^i$  define a non linear<br>
The function  $G_j^i$  define a non linear<br>
TM splits TTM as TTM =  $ker \pi_* \bigoplus$ <br>  $i_{kl} = 0$  then the Finsler metric is<br>
to of the projective algebra  $p(M, \alpha)$ . called a Berwald metric. where  $G^i$  are the geodesic coefficients having the expression<br>  $G^i = \frac{1}{3}g^{ij}([V^2]_{x^k,y^j}V^k - [V]^2_{x^i}]$  with  $(g)^{ij} = (g)_{ij}^{-1}$  and  $\sigma = \frac{def}{dx} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ .<br>
A spray on  $M$  is a globally  $C^c$  vector field  $G$  on  $TM\set$ 

### Projective Vector fields on special  $(\alpha, \beta)$  – Metric

Further, we proved that this metric is Berwald space.

Let  $(M, \alpha)$  be a Riemannian space  $(\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}y^iy^j})$  and  $(\beta = b_i(x)y^i)$  on a manifold M then  $||\beta||_x = \sup_{y \in \alpha} \frac{\beta(y)}{\alpha(y)} < 1$ . The me a non linear<br>  $[M = ker\pi_* \bigoplus$ <br>
insler metric is<br>
gebra  $p(M, \alpha)$ .<br>  $\frac{\beta(y)}{\alpha(y)} < 1$ . The<br>
the geodesic<br>
nere  $\theta^i = dx^i$ , Finsler  $(\alpha, \beta)$  –metric,  $F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$  is called a First Approximate Matsumoto metric on *M*.  $G_\alpha^i$  and  $G^i$  denote the geodesic spray coefficients of  $\alpha$  and  $F$  and the Levi-Civita connection of  $\alpha$  by  $\nabla$ . Define  $\nabla_j b_i$  by  $(\nabla_j b_i)\theta^j = db_i - b_j\theta_i^j$ , where  $\theta^i = dx^i$ ,  $\theta_i^j = \tilde{\gamma}_{ik}^j dx^k$  and  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivation of  $\alpha$ . Let us put Assume the conventions:<br>  $G_j^i = \frac{\partial G_j^i}{\partial y^i}, G_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial G_j^i}{\partial y^k}, G_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial G_j^i}{\partial y^i}$ .<br>
Note that  $G_{jk}^i$  gives rise to u to invisom -free connection in  $\pi^*TM$  called the Berwald connection in [5]. The function  $G$  $i_j^i = \frac{\partial G_i^i}{\partial y^i}$ ,  $G_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial G_j^i}{\partial y^k}$ ,  $G_{jk}^i = \frac{\partial G_j^i}{\partial y^i}$ ,<br>
tote that  $G_{jk}^i$  gives rise to a torison – free connection in  $\pi^*TM$  called the Berwald connection *HTM* spanned by horizontal frame  $\left\{\frac{\partial$  $\partial y^{(k-j)/k}$   $\partial y^{(k-j)/k}$   $\partial y^{(k-j)/k}$   $\partial y^{(k-j)/k}$ <br>
Note that  $G_{jk}^i$  gives rise to a torison -free connection in  $\pi^* M$  salled the Berwald connect<br>
connection *HTM* spanned by horizontal frame  $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right\}$ , whe is a certain Lie sub algebra of the projective algebra  $p(M, \alpha)$ .<br>
() on a manifold M then  $||\beta||_x = \sup_{y \in \pi^M} \frac{\beta(y)}{\alpha(y)} < 1$ . The<br>
Matsumoto metric on M.  $G_{\alpha}^i$  and  $G^i$  denote the geodesic<br>  $\nabla$ . Define  $\nabla_j b_i$  by

$$
r_{ij} = 1/2 (\nabla_j b_i + \nabla_i b_j), s_{ij} = 1/2 (\nabla_j b_i - \nabla_i b_j),
$$
  
\n
$$
s_j^i = a^{ih} s_{hj}, \qquad s_j = b_i s_j^i
$$
  
\n
$$
e_{ij} = r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i
$$

Clearly,  $\beta$  is closed if and only if  $s_{ij} = 0$ . Let  $s_j = b^i s_{ij}$ ,  $s_j^i = a^{ij}$ ,  $s_0 = s_i y^i$ ,  $s_0^i = s_j^i y^j$ ,  $r_{00} = r_{ij} y^i y^j$ . The geodesic coefficients  $G^i$  of F and  $G^i_{\alpha}$  of  $\alpha$  are related as follows

$$
G^{i} = G^{i}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{b^{2}} \left( \frac{r_{00}}{F} + s_{0} \right) y^{i} - \frac{F}{2} s^{i}_{0}
$$

Let V is projective vector field on  $(M, F)$  then it is Douglas tensor  $L_{\hat{v}}D^i_{jkl}=0$ . The sprays  $G^i$  of F and  $\hat{G}^i=G^i_\alpha+T^i$  and hence

$$
G^{i} = G_{\alpha}^{i} - \frac{1}{b^{2}} \left( \frac{r_{00}}{F} + s_{0} \right) y^{i} - \frac{F}{2} s_{0}^{i}
$$
\n
$$
D_{jkl}^{i} = \hat{G}_{jkl}^{i} = \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial y^{j} \partial y^{k} \partial y^{l}} \left\{ T^{i} - \frac{1}{n+1} T_{y}^{m} y^{i} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
T^{i} = \alpha Q s_{0}^{i} + \Psi \{-2Q \alpha s_{0} + r_{00}\} b^{i}
$$
\n
$$
Q = \frac{\varphi}{\varphi - s\varphi} = \frac{1+2s}{1-s^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varphi^{''}}{(\varphi - s\varphi) + (b^{2} - s^{2})\varphi^{''}} = \frac{1}{1 - 3s^{2} + 2b^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
T^{i} = \frac{1+2s}{1-s^{2}} \alpha s_{0}^{i} - \frac{1}{1 - 3s^{2} + 2b^{2}} \left\{ \left( \frac{1+2s}{1-s^{2}} \right) 2\alpha s_{0} - r_{00} \right\} b^{i}
$$
\n
$$
T_{m}^{m} = \varphi^{'} s_{0} + \Psi^{'} \alpha^{-1} (b^{2} - s^{2}) (r_{00} - 2\varphi \alpha s_{0})
$$
\n(4)

$$
+2\Psi[r_0-\varphi^1(b^2-s^2)s_0-\varphi ss_0]
$$
\n(5)

On calculation,  $T_m^m = 0$ .

From this and take  $L_{\hat{v}}\left\{\frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i\right\} = 0$ , then we have

$$
L_{\hat{v}} D^i_{jkl} = L_{\hat{v}} T^i_{j.k.l} = L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s^i_0 \right\}_{j.k.l} = 0
$$

Therefore, we have  $H^i(x, y)$ ,  $(i = 1, 2, 3, ... n)$  is quadratic in y then

$$
L_{\hat{v}}\left\{\frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2}\ s_0^i\right\} = H^i\tag{6}
$$

Now, let us take  $t_{ij} = L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij}$ .

Observe that 
$$
L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = \frac{t_{00}}{2\alpha} s_0^i + \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} L_{\hat{v}} s_0^i
$$
 (7)

Using  $(7)$ ,  $(6)$  can be written as

$$
t_{00} s_0^i + 2\alpha^2 (1 + 2\alpha) L_{\mathfrak{p}} s_0^i
$$
  
=  $(1 - s^2) H^i$ . (8)

Here we see that  $\alpha^2 = a_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$ ,  $t_{00} s_0^i = (t_{ij}(x)s_k^i(x)y^i y^j y^k)$  and

 $L_{\hat{v}} s_0^i = (L_v s_k^i)(x) y^k$  are polynomials in  $y^1, y^2, \ldots, y^n$ .

Hence, the l h s of (8) is a polynomial in  $y^1$ ,  $y^2$  ... .  $y^n$  for all *i*,

but the r h s is not. It follows that  $H^i = 0$  for all i, (7) leads

as 
$$
L_{\hat{v}}\left\{\frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i\right\} = 0.
$$

From [2] geodesic coefficients of  $F$  are investigated as follows

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = G^{a}{}_{\alpha}^{i} - \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} - \left(\frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^{2}}s_{0} - r_{00}\right) \frac{1}{1-3s^{2}+2b^{2}} \left\{b^{i} + \alpha^{-1}\left(\frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s^{2}+2b^{2})}\right)y^{i}\right\}
$$

$$
G_{\alpha}^{i} = G^{a}{}_{\alpha}^{i} - \left(\frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^{2}}s_{0} - r_{00}\right) \left(\frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s+2b^{2})}\right)\alpha^{-1}y^{i} + \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^{2}}s_{0}^{i} .
$$

$$
(9)
$$

Since  $L_{\widehat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = 0$  and  $L_{\widehat{v}} G^i = py^i$ , from this we have

$$
L_{\hat{v}} G_{\alpha}^{i} = L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ G_{\alpha}^{i} - \left( \frac{\alpha (1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} s_{0} - r_{00} \right) \left( \frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s+2b^{2})} \right) \alpha^{-1} y^{i} \right\} = py^{i}
$$

and finally we obtain

$$
L_{\hat{v}} G_{\alpha}^{i} = L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ p + \left( \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0 - r_{00} \right) \left( \frac{2+5s+5s^2}{2(1+s+s^2)(1-3s+2b^2)} \right) \alpha^{-1} \right\} y^{i}.
$$

It shows that, the vector field  $V$  is  $(M, F)$ .

Conversely, suppose *V* is  $(M, F)$  i.e.,  $L_{\hat{v}} = w_0 y^i$  for some 1 –form,  $w_0 = w_k(x) y^k$  on *M* and  $L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = 0$ .

From (3.9) it follows

$$
L_{\hat{v}} G^{i} = L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ G_{\alpha}^{i} - \left( \frac{\alpha (1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} s_{0} - r_{00} \right) \left( \frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s+2b^{2})} \right) \alpha^{-1} y^{i} + \frac{\alpha (1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} s_{0}^{i} \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{\hat{v}} G^{i} = L_{\hat{v}} G_{\alpha}^{i} - L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ G_{\alpha}^{i} - \left( \frac{\alpha (1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} s_{0} - r_{00} \right) \left( \frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s+2b^{2})} \alpha^{-1} \right) \right\} y^{i}.
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{\hat{v}} G^{i} = \left\{ w_{0} - L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ G_{\alpha}^{i} - \left( \frac{\alpha (1+2s)}{1-s^{2}} s_{0} - r_{00} \right) \left( \frac{2+5s+5s^{2}}{2(1+s+s^{2})(1-3s+2b^{2})} \alpha^{-1} \right) y^{i} \right\} \right\}.
$$

Which implies that  $V$  is  $(M, F)$ . Hence we state the following

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M, F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha})$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}$ ) be a special (α, β)-metric and *V* be a vector field on *M*. Then *V* is *F* – projective if and only if *V* is  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = 0.$ 

By theorem (1) and observe that  $L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = 0$ .

Let us suppose  $t_{ij} = L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij}$  and  $L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1+2s)}{1-s^2} s_0^i \right\} = 0$  and  $s_j^i \neq 0$ .

Now, let us take  $t_{ij} = L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij}$  and  $L_{\hat{v}} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{1-z} \right\}$  $\frac{\alpha}{1-2s} s_0^i = 0$ .

Therefore (8) becomes 
$$
\{t_{00} s_0^i + 2\alpha^2 L_\theta s_0^i\} = 0
$$
 (10)

It follows that  $\alpha^2$  divides  $t_{00} s_0^i$  for all *i*. This is equivalent to  $s_j^i = 0$ . Which contradicts since  $s_j^i \neq 0$ .

,

Therefore  $V$  is conformal vector field on  $(M, \alpha)$ .

That is  $\alpha$  – projective then there is a constant  $\mu$  such that  $L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij} = 2\mu a_{ij}$ .

From (10) we obtain  $L_v s_j^i = -\mu s_j^i$ .

Observe that  $L_v s_{ij} = (L_v a_{ik}) s_j^k$ 

Observe that 
$$
L_v s_{ij} = (L_v a_{ik}) s_j^k,
$$
  
=  $(L_v a_{ik}) s_j^k + a_{ik} L_v s_j^k$   
=  $2 \mu s_{ij} - \mu s_{ij},$   
=  $\mu s_{ij}$ ,

It shows that  $L_{\hat{v}}d\beta = \mu d\beta$ . Hence we state the following

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(M, F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha})$  $\frac{ds^2}{dt}$  be a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - metric on an *n* -dimensional. If  $s_j^i \neq 0$  then the vector field V is  $(M, F)$  if and only if V is a  $(M, \alpha)$  homothety and  $L_{\hat{v}} d\hat{\beta} = \mu d\beta$ , where  $L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij} = t_{ij} = 2\mu a_{ij}$ .

**Remark 1.** From lemma (1), since  $s_j^i = 0$ , then V is  $(M, F)$  projective vector filed, but it is not a  $\alpha$  -homothety.

Since F is a vanishing  $S$  – curvature [1] and  $(M, \alpha)$ .

From these we have  $\alpha^{\Psi} r_{00} = 2\sigma(x)[\beta^2 - (1 - \alpha^{\Psi}) - \alpha(2\beta - 1)].$ 

If the function  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi(x, y)$  is linear w. r .t. y then we have  $L_{\hat{v}} G^i = \Psi y^i$ .

Applying theorem (1) we have,

$$
L_{\hat{v}}G^{i} = V_{\hat{v}}\tilde{G}^{i} + L_{\hat{v}}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{\beta^{2}(1-\alpha^{\Psi})-\alpha(2\beta-1)}{\alpha^{\Psi}y^{i}}\right)\right) - L_{\hat{v}}s_0y^{i} = \Psi y^{i}.
$$

Putting  $t_{ij} = L_{\hat{v}} a_{ij}$  and  $L_{\hat{v}} = 0$ , it implies that  $t_{00} = L_{\hat{v}} \alpha^2$  and

$$
L_{\hat{v}}\tilde{G}^i + \left\{ \frac{\beta^2 (1 - \alpha^{\psi}) - \alpha (2\beta - 1)}{\alpha^{\psi}} \right\} L_{\hat{v}} \sigma y^i + \frac{t_{00}}{2\alpha} c y^i - L_{\hat{v}} s_0 y^i = \psi y^i.
$$
\n(11)

Recall that the natural coordinates system  $(x^i, y^i)$ ,  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  and  $x \in U$ , we treating the above equation as a polynomial in  $y^1, y^2, ...$   $y^n$ . Multiplying (11) by  $\alpha$ . Then we obtain,

where  
\n
$$
Rat^{i} + \alpha \text{ Irr}at^{i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots n,
$$
\n
$$
Rat^{i} = \alpha^{2}L_{\theta}\sigma y^{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mu a_{ij}\sigma y^{i}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Irr}at^{i} = L_{\theta}\tilde{G}^{i} - \left(\left[\frac{\beta^{2}(1-\alpha^{w})-\alpha(2\beta-1)}{\alpha^{w}}\right]L_{\theta}\sigma + L_{\theta}S_{0} - \Psi\right)y^{i}.
$$

Now, we assume that  $s = 0$ . By Lemma (1),  $(M, F)$  must be locally projectively flat, otherwise V is  $\alpha$  – homothety. Which is a contradiction to that V is  $\alpha$  – homothety.

Hence  $s_{ij} = 0$  and by  $e_{ij} = r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i$ , which implies  $r_{ij} = 0$ . Which is equivalent to  $\nabla_i b_j = 0$  and  $(M, F)$  is a Berwald space. Hence we have

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(M, F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha})$  $\frac{\infty}{\alpha}$ ) be a special  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric of vanishing  $S$  – curvature. If  $(M, F)$  admits a  $(M, \alpha)$  then it is a Berwald space.

## **CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we studied the projective algebra of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  - metric  $F = \alpha + \beta + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha}$  $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}$  of constant flag curvature and vanishing S- curvature admits a non  $\alpha$  - affine projective vector field is Berwald space. Also, we discussed some results on First Matsumoto space.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their grateful thanks to the referees for their valuable comments. This work was supported in part by my lovely Professor S.K. Narasimhamurthy for our continuous help and encouragement.

## **REFERENCES**

- [1]. Z. Shen, Xinyue cheng, (2009). A class of Finsler metrics with isotropic S- curvature, Israel Journal of Mathe- matics, November, preprint.
- [2]. Mehdi Rafie-Rad and Bahman REZAEI, (2011). On the Projective Algebra of Randers Metric of Constant Flag Curvature Symmetry, Inerrability and Geometry Methods and Applications, SIGMA 7, 085, 12 pages.
- [3]. H. Najafi, (2011). A. Tayebi, A new quantity in Finsler geometry C H Acad. sci. paris, ser I, volume 349, Issues 1-2, 81-83.
- [4]. A. Tayebi, E. Peyghan and H. Sadeghi, (2012). On a class of locally dually flat Finsler metrics with isotropic s- curvature  $IJST A_3$ , 377-382.
- [5]. A.Shirafkan and Rae.Rad, (2018). On the C-projective vector fields on Ran ders spaces, Research Gate, 27-November, preprint.
- [6]. Shen Z.M., (2008). Xing H., On Randers metrics of isotropic S-curvature, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 24, 789–796.
- [7]. Shen Z., (2002) . Projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature, Trans. Amer.Math. Soc. 355,1713- 1728.
- [8]. Najafi B.,Shen Z., (2008).Tayebi A.,Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with special non-Riemannian curvature properties, Geom. Dedicata 131, 87–97.
- [9]. Yamauchi K., (1979). On infinitesimal projective transformations of a Riemannian manifold with constant scalar Curvature, Hokkaido. Math. J. 8, 167–175.
- [10]. Barnes, (1993). A.Projective collineations in Einstein spaces, Classical Quantum Gravity 10, 1139-1145.
- 
- [12]. Shen Y., Yu Y., (2008). On projectively related Randers metric, Internat. J. Math. 19, 503–520.
- [13]. M. Matsumoto, (1991). Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$  –metric, Rep. Math. Phys. 30, 15-20.
- Acknowledgement<br>
The authors would like to cxpress their grateful thanks to the referees for their valuable comments. This work was supported in part b<br>
Proleison' S.K. Nurnamhumurhly for our continuous help and encouragem [14] Z. Shen, (2019). Differential geometry of spray and Finsler spaces, Kluwer Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer science, 31(4), ISSN: 2456-9968, 1-8 [].

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*