

RESEARCH ARTICLE

MARTIAN MICROBES FORMED OIDS ON MARS

*Liangtai Lin

Alumnus of National Taiwan University, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 21st April, 2016
Received in revised form
19th May, 2016
Accepted 13th June, 2016
Published online 30th July, 2016

Key words:

Martian microbes,
Martian ooids,
Life on Mars,
Past life on Mars.

Copyright©2016, Liangtai Lin. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ABSTRACT

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity discovered plentiful indigenous spherical ooids at High Dune and Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. Closely resembling ooids of Earth, the Martian ooids are spherical in shape, similar in size, mostly about 0.5 mm in diameter. Colors of the Martian ooids are various, including white, yellow translucent, green, grey, and yellow. The Martian ooids should have been formed by microbes, because ooids of Earth have recently been found to be formed by microbes and microbial borings are found in ooids of Earth and Mars. The Martian ooids are unlikely to have been formed by non-biological mechanisms, because there was no highly agitated water at the discovery sites.

INTRODUCTION

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity visited Bagnold dune field in Gale Crater, Mars, to study sands there from December 2015 to February 2016 (from Sol 1182 to Sol 1260, see Figure 1 and <http://www.hou.usra.edu/meetings/lpsc2016/pdf/2298.pdf>) .Larger geological context of Bagnold Dune is seen in these two photos: <http://mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/images/?ImageID=7640> <http://photojournal.jpl.nasa.gov/catalog/PIA16064> During its visit there, Curiosity Rover did a lot of science on Martian sands there, including the morphology, mineralogy, and chemistry of the sands. This article focuses on the rover's discovery of putative ooid sand based on the morphology of Martian sands there. Curiosity Rover used its Mars Hand Lens Imager (MAHLI) to acquire a lot of microscopic images of the sands there. Many of the microscopic images show ooids, some of which are marked in the following figures in the result section below.

RESULT

The above microscopic image was acquired by NASA's Mars rover Curiosity on Dec. 3, 2015 (Sol 1182) near High Dune, Gale Crater, Mars. Eight red arrows and 17 yellow lines mark ooids. They are all smaller than 1 mm in diameter.




Figure 1. Route map of Mars Rover Curiosity from Sol 1172 to Sol 1260




Figure 2. Martian ooids at High Dune

Image width: ~3.3 cm. (Note: Figure 2 to Figure 5 range from ~2.8 cm to ~3.8 cm in image widths) Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS Image source: mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?rawid=1182MH00036500104... Context: the left side in <http://i.imgur.com/VK79Uz2.jpg>. For comparison with ooids of Earth that resemble Martian ooids, see Figure 8 and photos in <http://wretchfossil.blogspot.tw/2016/01/earthly-ooids-for-comparison.html>.



Figure 3. Well-preserved ooids at Namib Dune

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity acquired the above microscopic image on Jan. 19, 2016 (Sol 1228) at Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. Seventeen red arrows point to well-preserved ooids. They are all smaller than 1 mm across. Two translucent ooids at top left seem to be made of calcite. Image width: ~3.8 cm. Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS Image source: mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?rawid=1228MH00016300004... Context: near center, beside the scoop trench, in <http://mars.jpl.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/images/?ImageID=7658>.



Figure 4. Well-preserved ooids in sieved sample

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity acquired the above microscopic image on Jan. 19, 2016 (Sol 1228) at Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. Twelve red arrows point to well-preserved ooids. These ooids are smaller than 1 mm in diameter, as the imaged area is actually ~3.3 cm wide. The rover dumped the scooped and sieved particles onto the ground after analyzing similar particles for their chemistry and mineralogy in its laboratory instruments. Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS Image source: mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/rawid=1228MH00017000004...

Context: Dump Pile A in http://planetary.s3.amazonaws.com/assets/images/4-mars/2016/20160210_MAH_LI_1241_Namib_sample_area.jpg Additional images of well-preserved ooids at Namib Dune: <http://wretchfossil.blogspot.tw/2016/02/really-great-science-at-namib-dune-mars.html> <http://wretchfossil.blogspot.tw/2016/02/mars-rover-dumped-ooids-onto-rock.html>.



Figure 5. Most particles in this photo are ooids

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity acquired the above image with Mars Hand Lens Imager (MAHLI) on Jan. 23, 2016 (Sol 1231) at Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. Most of the particles in this image are eroded ooids. Red lines mark some of the ooids. They are all smaller than 1 mm across. Image width: ~2.8 cm. Original-sized figure: https://www.flickr.com/photo/fossil_lin/24517296021/sizes/o/ Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS Image source: www.flickr.com/photos/105796482@N04/24483811912/ source: www.flickr.com/photos/105796482@N04/24483811912/ NASA's image: <http://mars.jpl.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/images/?ImageID=7659> Context: Dump Pile B in http://planetary.s3.amazonaws.com/assets/images/4-mars/2016/20160210_MAH_LI_1241_Namib_sample_area.jpg.




Figure 6. Geological Context for Ooids at Namib Dune

Three green arrows point to three spots where Mars Rover Curiosity scooped samples for chemical and mineralogical analyses with onboard instruments SAM and CheMin. After analyses, some samples were dumped onto ground and imaged with Mars Hand Lens Imager. The material imaged in Figures 3, 4, and 5 are from arrow 2 (Figure 3) and arrow 1 (Figures 4 & 5). Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS NASA's full-sized image with description: <http://mars.jpl.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/images/?ImageID=7658> Microbes of Earth often bore holes in ooids (Ref. 1, notes 1, 2, 3).



Figure 7. Microbes bored holes in these ooids

Microbes on Mars did the same thing in this photo, which is the same as Figure 2 above. In the red circles are Martian ooids with holes bored by Martian microbes. Image width: ~3.3 cm. Original-sized figure: https://www.flickr.com/photos/fossil_lin/24749890260/sizes/o/ Context: the left side in <http://i.imgur.com/VK79Uz2.jpg> Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS Image source: http://mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?rawid=1182MH0003650010402637C00_DX_XX&s=1182. More evidence for microbial borings: <http://wretchfossil.blogspot.tw/2016/04/more-martian-microbes-boring-holes-in.html> Note 1: "The ooids have the same pattern of microboring alteration across the region. The surface and outer cortex of the ooids are punctuated with unfilled microborings, whereas the inner cortex contains two morphologies of aragonite cement filling the microborings." (quoted from the abstract of article in Ref. 1 below) Note 2: "Examination of such micritic ooids by scanning electron microscopy often shows evidence of microbial borings later filled by fine cement." (quoted from Wikipedia article on ooids) Note 3: Example of Earthly microbes boring holes in ooids: https://www.flickr.com/photos/fossil_lin/25176145964/in/date-posted-public/

Original description: "Mega ooids! Dave's finger for scale." The above photo shows ooids in China Ranch, California, USA. Red lines in the photo mark ooids that have been eroded into hemispheres. Image source: the last fifth photo in <http://daveandiztakeonthewest.blogspot.tw/2012/11/china-ranch-and-stretchoween.html>.

This figure shows spheres that are different from ooids. Original description: "The Utah concretions shown on the left range in diameter from one twenty-fifth of an inch to 2 inches (1 mm to 50 mm), while the Martian versions on the right all

measure less than one-fifth of an inch (5 mm) in diameter. (Scale of photos is different)." (Quoted from <http://www.innovations-report.com/html/reports/earth-sciences/report-30313.html>) Image source: http://www.bev.ba/MOMARAFO/ET/krater/blueberries/usa_stone_balls1.html.



Figure 8. Earthly ooids identified during field trip



Figure 9. These spheres differ from ooid spheres




Figure 10. Eroded Martian ooids expose characteristic interior

The above is a microscopic image taken at Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. In the white circles are ooids that have been eroded into hemispheres. Red arrows point to nucleus of ooids. The ooid at top left exposes its concentric layers and nucleus. The hemispheres are all smaller than 1 mm across. Image width: ~2.6 cm. For comparison with Earthly ooids that have been eroded into hemispheres, see

Figure 8 above. Original-sized figure: https://www.flickr.com/photos/fossil_lin/25583265033/sizes/o/Above image is enlarged from <https://www.flickr.com/photos/lunexit/24626790060/in/dateposted/> Image Credit: NASA/JPL-Caltech/MSSS/2di7 & titanio44 NASA's original raw image: http://mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?rawid=1242MH0005620020403663C00_DXXX&s=1242 Origin of the material: Scoop 2 in http://planetary.s3.amazonaws.com/assets/images/4-mars/2016/20160210_MAHLI_1241_Namib_sample_area.jpg, then transported to Dump Pile D in the same photo

DISCUSSION

In paragraphs A to E below, This article discusses putative ooids discovered on Mars and their possible formation by microorganisms.

Are they ooids?

A1. Putative Martian ooids match ooids of Earth. An ooid consists of a nucleus (a fragment of shell, a grain of sand, or whatever) around which minerals are deposited to form roughly spherical grains. A website named Sandatlas has the following webpage for 20 types of sand on Earth: <http://www.sandatlas.org/sand-types/>. Of the 20 types of sand on Earth, only ooid sand matches the material described in Figures 2 to 5, because those Martian ooids are unique for their combination of spherical shape, similar size of approximately 0.5 mm in diameter (see A3), and various colors that include white, yellow translucent, green, grey, and yellow. These features can be seen by comparing Figures 2 to 5 with the 20 types of sand on Earth. The combination of these features is also seen in nearly every Focus Merge Data Product at <http://mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?s=1231&camera=MAHLI> and <http://mars.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/raw/?s=1228&camera=MAHLI> Such shape, size, color and concentration are characteristic of ooid sand.

A2. Martian ooid sand is seen not only at High Dune (Figure 2), but also at Namib Dune (Figures 3 to 5). Both High Dune and Namib Dune are portions of Bagnold dune field. The environment there over 3 billion years ago was a freshwater lake (see <http://authors.library.caltech.edu/60940/>) near the Martian equator. Such distribution and environment fit those of ooid sand on Earth. Regarding geological context of Martian ooids, Martian wind had blown the Martian ooids away from the site where they were originally formed (see the third paragraph in <http://mars.jpl.nasa.gov/msl/multimedia/images/?ImageID=7658>). Anyway, geologists do not need geological context in order to correctly identify ooids (see Figure 8).

A3. Ooid spheres differ from other kinds of spheres in sizes, colors and internal structures. Ooids of Earth are mostly 0.25 mm to 1 mm in diameter (note 1). Martian ooids at Bagnold Dune are mostly about 0.5 mm in diameter as reported in <http://www.planetary.org/multimedia/space-images/mars/well-sorted-martian-sand.html> However, "On Mars, most of the hematite rocks ("blueberries") are about 0.16 inches (4 millimeters) in diameter, and no larger than 0.24 inches (6.2 millimeters). By contrast, Earth spherules exhibit a large range of sizes, not limited to only a quarter of an inch." (Quoted

from the ninth paragraph in <http://news.nationalgeographic.com/news/2014/02/140224-mars-blueberries-water-meteorite-space-science/>) The colors of Martian ooids include white, yellow translucent, green, grey, and yellow as shown in figures above. Other kinds of spheres do not show all of these colors. Regarding internal structures, ooids often contain nucleus and concentric layers (Figure 10) which are not often seen in other kinds of spheres. The "ooids" are not "impact spherules", either, because no mechanisms allow meteorite impacts to form spherules with nucleus and concentric layers.

In view of the above, yes, they are ooids. Note 1: See the third paragraph in https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Historical_Geology/Ooids_and_oolite and the first paragraph in <http://www.sandatlas.org/ooid-sand/>

Were the Martian ooids formed by microbes?

Regarding the formation of ooids, there are non-biological hypotheses, in which ooids get their spherical shape owing to highly agitated water (Ref. 3), such as sea waves pounding on the beaches, that rolls the ooids into spherical shape. However, there is no highly agitated water in lakes. The spherical Martian ooids at Bagnold Dune are unlikely to have been formed by the non-biological mechanisms, because the Martian ooids are in a former lake with no trace of a river at the discovery sites (Figure 6). On the other hand, there are ample evidences for biological formation of ooids. Some of the evidences are listed below in B1, B2, and Ref. 4 to Ref. 15. In view of the above and the microbial borings in Martian ooids (Figure 7), yes, the Martian ooids should have been formed by microbes.

B1. Microbes of Earth form ooids in freshwater lake. Evidence: "Here, we show that photosynthetic microbes not only enhance early carbonate precipitation around the ooid nucleus but also control the formation of the entire cortex in freshwater ooids from Lake Geneva, Switzerland." (Quoted from the abstract of article in Ref. 4: "Going nano: A new step toward understanding the processes governing freshwater ooid formation", displayed at <http://geology.gsapubs.org/content/40/6/547.abstract>) The water in Gale Crater of Mars was once fresh water in a lake as mentioned in A2 above.

B2. Recent research confirms that microbes of Earth form ooids in seawater, as reported in the abstract of Ref. 5: <http://www.hou.usra.edu/meetings/abscicon2015/pdf/7317.pdf>. More evidences for microbes forming ooids are listed in Ref. 6 to Ref. 15 below.

Martian microbes bored holes in ooids, too

Microbes of Earth often bore holes in ooids and Martian microbes did the same thing (Figure 7).

Can the ooids and microbes be contaminants?

There is no meteorite near the sites where the Martian ooids are found (Figure 6). The ooids are individual isolated particles, unattached to any rock or meteorite. Plentiful is the amount of Martian ooids, eroded ones included (Figure 10). Martian ooids account for considerable portions of particles in the above figures. For reasons listed below, the ooids and the

microbes should be indigenous, not contaminants from meteorites or other planets:

- There is no meteorite near the ooid sites;
- Even if there were meteorites somewhere, ooids or living microbes have never been discovered in meteorites;
- Mars has many other places that show countless ooids, some embedded in rocks and some drilled out of rocks. For examples: <http://wretchfossil.blogspot.tw/2016/06/numerous-martian-microbes-produced.html>

Are chemistry and mineralogy necessary to identify ooids?

Geologists usually identify ooids by visual observation without any instrument (see Figure 8). Instruments can analyze chemical elements, molecules, and mineral type of sands. Results from chemical or mineralogical analyses are helpful but not necessary for identifying ooids when morphological evidence is clear (see figures above). Moreover, even if calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) is found, it may have nothing to do with life. Even if minerals of aragonite and calcite are found, they may have nothing to do with life, either, when sample size is not large enough. So, chemical or mineralogical results themselves may not be definitive for identifying ooids. Even if laboratory results contain the chemistry and mineralogy of ooids, such results may not be interpreted as coming from ooids (see description of Fig. 1 in <http://aem.asm.org/content/68/8/3663/F1.expansion.html>). As ooids possess varied and complex interior, morphological observation by experienced people is necessary and sufficient in identifying ooids.

Conclusion

NASA's Mars Rover Curiosity discovered plentiful indigenous spherical ooids at High Dune and Namib Dune in Bagnold dune field, Gale Crater, Mars. Closely resembling ooids of Earth, the Martian ooids are spherical in shape, similar in size, mostly about 0.5 mm in diameter. Colors of the Martian ooids are various, including white, yellow translucent, green, grey, and yellow. The Martian ooids should have been formed by microbes, because ooids of Earth have recently been found to be formed by microbes and microbial borings are found in ooids of Earth and Mars. The Martian ooids are unlikely to have been formed by non-biological mechanisms, because there was no highly agitated water at the discovery sites.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank NASA, NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, The California Institute of Technology (Caltech), Malin Space Science System, Mars rover Curiosity science team and engineering team. Without their work, this article would be impossible. The author also wishes to thank authors of the original images quoted in this article. The author wishes to thank all the authors of articles in the reference list. Without their work, this article would be impossible.

REFERENCES

- Duguid, S.M.A., Kyser, T.K., James, N.P., Rankey, E.C. 2010. Microbes and Ooids. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 80: 236–251.
- Levin, G.V., Straat, P.A. 1976. Viking Labeled Release Biology Experiment: Interim Results. *Science* 194: 1322–1329.
- Tang, D., Shi, X., Shi, Q., Wu, J., Song, G., *et al.* 2015. Organomineralization in Mesoproterozoic giant ooids. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 107: 195–211.
- Pacton, M., Ariztegui, D., Wacey, D., Kilburn, M.R., Rollion-Bard, C. *et al.* 2012. Going nano: a new step toward understanding the processes governing freshwater ooid formation. *Geology* 40: 547–550.
- O'Reilly, S.S., Klepac-Ceraj, V., Winter, A.R., Bosak, T., McDermott, F. *et al.* 2015. Molecular evidence for a microbial role in modern ooid formation and implications for their occurrence and meaning in the geological record. *Astrobiology Science Conference*.
- Plee, K., Ariztegui, D., Martini, R., Davaud, E. 2008. Unravelling the microbial role in ooid formation - results of an in situ experiment in modern freshwater Lake Geneva in Switzerland. *Geobiology* 6: 341–350.
- Summons, R.E., Bird, L.R., Gillespie, A.L., Pruss, S.B., Roberts, M. *et al.* 2013. Lipid biomarkers in ooids from different locations and ages: Evidence for a common bacterial flora. *Geobiology* 11: 420–436.
- Miller, C.R., James, N.P. 2012. Autogenic Microbial Genesis of Middle Miocene Palustrine Ooids; Nullarbor Plain, Australia. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 82: 633–647.
- Rehm, U.B., Rumbein, W.E.K. 2004. Laboratory cultures of calcifying biomicrospheres generate ooids - A contribution to the origin of oolites. *Carnets de Géologie* 3: 1–6.
- Woods, A.D. 2013. Microbial ooids and cortoids from the Lower Triassic (Spathian) Virgin Limestone, Nevada, USA: Evidence for an Early Triassic microbial bloom in shallow depositional environments. *Global and Planetary Change* 105: 91–101.
- Duan Xiong, Shi Zhiqiang, Jin Xin, Fan Hong, Ji Guofeng, *et al.* 2015. Microbial cause for giant ooids: Evidence from the Lower Cambrian in Shizhu area, Chongqing. *Journal of Palaeogeography*, 17: 241–248.
- Kahle, C.F.J. 2007. Proposed origin of aragonite Bahaman and some Pleistocene marine ooids involving bacteria, nannobacteria(?), and biofilms. *Carbonates and Evaporites* 22: 10–22.
- Folk, R.L. and Lynch, F.L. 2001. Organic matter, putative nannobacteria and the formation of ooids and hardgrounds. *Sedimentology*, 48: 215–229.
- Thompson, J.B., Huber, J.A. 1997. Microbe and ooid associations; a possible microbial role in the origin of ooids. Abstracts with Programs - *Geological Society of America*, 29: 130.
- Diaz, M.R., Swart, P.K., Eberli, G.P., Oehlert, A.M., Devlin, Q. *et al.* 2015. Geochemical evidence of microbial activity within ooids. *Sedimentology* 62: 2090–2112.
